PATENT COOPERATION THEATY

NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			<u></u>	- DOT	
То:				PCI	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			; ,	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
	cant's or agent's file of			FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2 b	
	national application N NB2004/001728	lo.	International filing date (da 26.05.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.05.2003
	national Patent Class 'H17/08, A61K31		both national classification a	ind IPC	
Appl	icant IMICA SINTETIC				
				·	
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicat	ions relating to the follo	owing items:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion	•	
	⊠ Box No. II	Priority	. Contato o della comp		native atom and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. III			rd to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV 図 Box No. V	Reasoned sta		.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docur		., -	
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defec	ts in the international app	lication	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internation	al application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	written opinion o the applicant che International Bu will not be so co	of the Internation ooses an Author reau under Ruk nsidered.	nal Preliminary Examining ority other than this one to e 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o	g Authority ("IPEA be the IPEA and pinions of this Into	will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority
	submit to the IP months from the whichever expire	EA a written repended a written repended in the contraction of the con	oly together, where appro g of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	priate, with amen	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to dments, before the expiration of three tion of 22 months from the priority date.
	For further option	ns, see Form F	PCT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
-				Authorized Office	
Na	me and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Onice	BF
Na	me and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Office	gerin ^{tes follow} ,

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001728

	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
١.	With regard	d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age., which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search. Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of r	naterial:
	□ as	sequence listing
	□ tab	ple(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:		of material:
	☐ in	written format
	□ in	computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing:
	□ со	ntained in the international application as filed.
	☐ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	has t copie	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.
4	. Additiona	I comments:

	Box	No. II	Priority				
1.	\boxtimes	☑ The following document has not been furnished:					
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	application	whose pri	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the ea	arlier appli	cation who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
		Conse	quently it has not be neless been establis	en possibli hed on the	le to conside assumption	ler the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
		litional d	observations, if nece	essarv:			
3.	Add	muona,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· · · · ·			
3.	Add	zitiOiTai V	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
3.	Add	anonai (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,			
3.	Box	x No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or	
_	Bo:	x No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement	
_	Box ind	x No. V lustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	explanatio	ns supporting such statement	
_	Box ind	x No. V ustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	explanation Claims	ns supporting such statement 11-23	
_	Box ind	x No. V lustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	explanatio	ns supporting such statement	
_	Bo: ind Sta	x No. V ustrial itement velty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement 11-23	
_	Bo: ind Sta	x No. V ustrial itement velty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e Yes: No:	Claims Claims	11-23 1-10,24-27	
_	Box ind Sta Nov	x No. V ustrial itement velty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	11-23 1-10,24-27	

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/07736 A (CADILA PHARMACEUTICALS LTD; KHAMAR BAKULESH MAFATLAL (IN)) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31)

D2: EP-A-1 075 837 (S I F I SOCIETA IND FARMACEUTI) 14 February 2001 (2001-02-14)

D3: EP-A-0 307 128 (PFIZER) 15 March 1989 (1989-03-15)

Claims 1-10, 24-27:

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-10, 24-27 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses a liquid pharmaceutical composition consisting of Azithromycin, citric acid (and Sodium hydroxide) thereby disclosing a citrate salt of azithromycin in solution.

D2 discloses azithromycin formulation for ophthalmic uses consisting in mixing azithromycin to citric acid (in the presence of phosphate) (see paragraph 13) thereby disclosing a citrate salt of azithromycin in solution.

As the subject-matter of claims 1-10, 24,25 does not specify if the salt of azithromycin/citric acid is in solution or in the solid state, the subject-matter these claims is anticipated by D1 and D2

D3 discloses the use azithromycin citric acid salt (see ex. 5) as an anti-protozoal agent. As it is well known that azithromycin (salts or not, the active ingredient being anyway azithromycin) are useful as antibacterial agents as well as antiprotozoal agents (see D3), the subject-matter of claim claims 26-27 is not considered new.

Claim 11:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

Since none of the available prior art discloses the azithromycin citric acid salt in a solid amorphous state, the subject-matter of claim 11 is considered new.

Nevertheless, as D1-D2 discloses the fact that azithromycin citric acid salt in solution between certain pH ranges stabilizes the formulation, as solid form of this salt is also expected to be more stable, the two nitrogen atoms being "better protected" against oxidation.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 11 is not considered inventive contrary to Art. 33(3) PCT.

Claims 12-23:

The subject-matter of claims 12-23 is considered new in the light of the available prior art.

Nevertheless, the process of claims 12-23, which basically consist in dissolving/mixing two components (an acid and a base) and eventually isolating the salt thus formed afterwards, is so simple that it is considered trivial, and achievable by any man skilled in the art with ordinary basic knowledge of organic chemistry.

Thus claims 12-23 are not considered inventive contrary to Art. 33(3) PCT.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.